defendant Mervyn's, LLC ("Mervyn's") hereby jointly move this Court for an order extending the time to answer or move to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (the

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	
2	7

28

"Complaint"). The Court has the authority to extend the time pursuant to Federal
Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b) ("When by these rules an act is required or
allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the court for cause shown may at
any time in its discretion order the period enlarged if request therefore is made
before the expiration of the period originally prescribed ").

The parties have agreed that extending the time for Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint will conserve the Court's and the parties' resources, and therefore believe that good cause exists for the Court to extend the time. According to the Complaint, defendants operate and maintain facilities of public accommodation, which Plaintiff alleges do not meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.) and related statutes regarding accessibility for disabled individuals. Due to the complexity of the allegations, Mervyn's and its counsel require additional time to review the Complaint and prepare Mervyn's response thereto. Plaintiff has agreed to extend Mervyn's time to respond to the Complaint by seven days, to and including July 28, 2008. This is the first extension of time provided to Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint, and the parties agree that this extension is not sought for the purpose of improper delay, and will not prejudice Plaintiff.

Accordingly, the parties believe that good cause exists for this Court to exercise its discretion to enlarge the time for Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff A.J. Oliver ("Plaintiff") and defendant Mervyn's, LLC ("Mervyn's") hereby jointly move this Court for an order extending the time to answer or move to dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint (the "Complaint").

I.

## INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff filed the Complaint on June 23, 2008 against Mervyn's and two other defendants. Mervyn's was served the Complaint through its agent for service of process by personal service on June 30, 2008. Mervyn's response to the Complaint is due July 21, 2008. The parties have agreed that good cause exists for the Court to extend time for Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint, as set forth below.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

## GOOD CAUSE EXISTS FOR THE COURT TO EXTEND THE TIME FOR MERVYN'S TO ANSWER OR MOVE TO DISMISS

II.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b), this Court has the discretion to extend the time for Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint. Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b) ("When by these rules . . . an act is required or allowed to be done at or within a specified time, the court for cause shown may at any time in its discretion. . . order the period enlarged if request therefore is made before the expiration of the period originally prescribed . . . . "). The parties have agreed that extending the time for Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint will conserve the Court's and the parties' resources, and therefore believe that good cause exists for the Court to extend the time. According to the Complaint, defendants operate and maintain facilities of public accommodation, which Plaintiff alleges do not meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq.) and related statutes regarding accessibility for disabled individuals. Due to the complexity of the allegations, Mervyn's and its counsel require additional time to review the Complaint and prepare Mervyn's response thereto. Plaintiff has agreed to extend Mervyn's time to respond to the Complaint by seven days, to and including July 28, 2008. This is the first extension of time provided to Mervyn's to respond to the Complaint, and the parties agree that this extension is not sought for the purpose of improper delay, and will not prejudice Plaintiff.

26

## **CONCLUSION**

III.

27 28

The parties have agreed that extending the time for Mervyn's to respond to

1	the Complaint will conserve the Court'	s and the parties' resources. The parties
2	therefore believe that good cause exists for the Court to exercise its discretion under	
3		to the Complaint. Accordingly, the parties
4	ti	
5		IONIDO DATA
6	5 Dated: July 17, 2008	JONES DAY
7	7	D
8	3	By: Steven M. Zadravecz
9		Attorneys for Defendant MERVYN'S, LLC
10		MERVYN'S, LLC
11	Datad: July 17, 2009	DICADI ED ADVOCACY CROUP
12		DISABLED ADVOCACY GROUP, APLC
13		. 1
14 15	·	By: Lynn Hubbard, III
16	5 📗	Attorneys for Plaintiff A.J. OLIVER
17	7	A.J. OLIVER
18	[.AI-2962784v]	
19	)	
20	)	•
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		4 Notice of Joint Motion and Joint Motion